

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,546	10/23/2000	Kenneth W. Crangle	10002549-1	1250	
75	590 02/25/2003				
••	ACKARD COMPANY	EXAMINER			
	perty Administration	NGUYEN, CAO H			
P.O. Box 27240 Fort Collins, CO	•				
Port Comms, Co	J 60326-9399		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2173		
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/694,546	Grangle et al.
Examiner Cao (Kevin) Nguyen		Art Unit

Office Action Summary	09/694,546		Grangle et al.				
The MANIAC DATE	Examiner Cao (Kevin) Ng	uyen	Art Unit 2173				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear Period for Reply	s on the cover sheet wit	h the corre	1				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	T TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH be timely filed 30) days will be	H(S) FROM after SIX (6) MONTH	JS 6 1			
Status	one continuincation, even if time	ly filed, may red	duce any				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on Oct 23, 2							
							
20/00 Inis act	tion is non-final.			•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance of closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matte	ers, prosec	lition as to the	1			
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	rte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11; 453 C).G. 213.	merits is			
4) X Claim(s) 1 20							
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are	pending in the	application.			
5) Claim(s)		is/are	withdrawn fro	m consideration.			
5) ☐ Claim(s)		is	/are allowed.				
6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> 7) ☐ Claim(s)		is,	are rejected.				
7)		is/	are objected to	D.			
Application Papers	8) Claims is/are objected to. Application Papers are subject to restriction and/or election requirements 9) The procification is a subject to restriction and/or election requirements						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			3.550	on requirement.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on Oct 23, 2000	. [
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>Oct 23, 2000</u> is/are a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐	objected t	o by the Exam	iner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the dra	wing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 3	7 CFR 1.85(a)				
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to	is: a) ☐ apı	proved b)[disapproved	by the Evamina			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	this Office action.		.,	oy the Examiner.			
7							
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign							
13) ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prior a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some* c) ☐ None of:	rity under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d)	or (f).				
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have b							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have be 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority.	een received.						
application to applied of the priority docu	ments have been		Metion I O				
- duling office action for a list of the ac-			National Stage				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional ap	plication has been sa-	119(e).					
15) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	Prity under 35 U.S.C. a	aved. δ 12Ω	/n- 40:	1			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		∍ i∠∪ and	/or 121.				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Pavis (DTD and	Interview Summary (PTO-413	Paper No(s).					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO 1440) D		cation (PTO-15	2)				
Patent and Trademark Office 6)	Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claims 16-20 are objected to because of the following informalities: The phrase "Storage media that stores software" should be changed to "Computer readable-medium..". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35/U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103© and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

3. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gentner (US Patent No. 5,724,595) in view of McMillan (US Patent No. 6,008,811).

Regarding claims 1 and 8, Gentner discloses a method for printing a URL page by a computing system, comprising the following steps: (a) when a reference to a URL address is dragged and dropped upon a print icon performing the following substeps (see col. 3, lines 27-65) (a. l); and (a.1) and substep (a.2) are performed without displaying the URL page to a user of the computing system accessing the URL page from an Internet network (see col. 2, lines 16-57); however, Gentner fails to explicitly teach printing the URL page on a printer.

McMillan teaches when a reference to a URL address is dragged and dropped upon a print icon performing the following substeps (see col. 3, lines 6-50). It would have been obvious to one of an ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide when a reference to a URL address is dragged and dropped upon a print icon performing as taught by McMillan to the simple system for creating hypertext links in order to enhance a printing user friendly.

Regarding claim 2, McMillan discloses wherein substep (a.2) includes the following substeps: when the URL page is unchanged from a time when the URL page was previously printed by the computing system, so notifying the user of the computing system and giving the user of the computing system an opportunity to cancel printing. (see col. 5, lines 1-37).

Regarding claim 3, Gentner discloses wherein in substep (a. 1) the reference to the URL address is dragged from a subscription list available as part of a resource utilized by a print delivery Internet site (see col. 5, lines 6,55).

Regarding claims 4-7, Gentner discloses wherein in substep (a.l) the reference to the URL address is dragged from URL addresses listed in a file (see figures 2-3).

As claims 9 are analyzed as previously discussed with respected to claims 1-8 above.



4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. (see PTO-892).

Response

5. Responses to this action should be mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks, Washington, D.C. 20231. If applicant desires to fax a response, (703) 746-7239 may be used for formal communications or (703) 746-7240 for informal or draft communications.

Please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT" for informal facsimile communications. For after final responses, please label "AFTER FINAL" or "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE" on the document.

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA. Sixth Floor (Receptionist).



6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Cao (Kevin)-Nguyen-whose-telephone-number is (703) 305-3972. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 am to 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W. Cabeca, can be reached on (703) 308-3116. The fax number for this group is (703) 746-7240.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3800.

AO (KEVIN) NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER

February 21, 2003